

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION
DOCKET NO. CV-1:05-cv-11694

ERIC C. PEARCE,)	
)	
Plaintiff)	UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO
)	FILE REPLY MEMORANDUM IN
v.)	SUPPORT OF AMENDED MOTION TO
)	DISMISS BY DEFENDANTS AEGIS
KEITH BAUMM, et al.,)	HANDMADE CARBON FIBER
)	BICYCLES, LLC, AEGIS RACING BIKES
Defendants.)	USA, LLC, AND FASTBIKES USA, LLC

Defendants Aegis Handmade Carbon Fiber Bicycles, LLC (“Aegis Handmade”), Aegis Racing Bikes USA, LLC (“Aegis Racing”), and Fastbikes USA, LLC (“Fastbikes”) (collectively, the “Moving Defendants”), by and through the undersigned counsel, move for leave to file a reply memorandum in support of their Amended Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff does not oppose this Motion.

Moving Defendants seek leave to file a reply memorandum to respond to new facts alleged in Plaintiff's Objection to the Amended Motion to Dismiss. This Motion is being filed promptly after the filing of Plaintiffs' Objection, so there should be no material delay in resolution of the pending Motion to Dismiss.

Moving Defendants' reply memorandum is filed herewith.

WHEREFORE, Moving Defendants request that the Court grant them leave to file a reply memorandum in support of their Amended Motion to Dismiss.

DATED at Portland, Maine this 13th day of December, 2007.

/s/ Paul R. Johnson

Paul R. Johnson, Esq.

BBO # 546165

Attorney for Aegis Handmade Carbon Fiber
Bicycles, LLC, Aegis Racing Bikes USA,
LLC, And Fastbikes USA, LLC

RICHARDSON, WHITMAN, LARGE & BADGER

465 Congress Street

P. O. Box 9545

Portland, ME 04112-9545

(207) 774-7474

pjohnson@rwlb.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document filed through the ECF system will be sent electronically to the following registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) and paper copies will be sent to those indicated as non registered participants, on this 13th day of December, 2007.

Sergio C Deganis

Ouellette, Deganis & Gallagher, LLC

143 Main Street

Cheshire, CT 06410

203-272-1157

Email: Sdeganis@ODGWLAW.com

James D. Poliquin

Norman, Hanson & DeTroy

P.O. Box 4600

415 Congress Street

Portland, ME 04112

(207) 774-7000

Brian H. Sullivan

Sloane & Walsh

3 Center Plaza

Boston, MA 02108

617-523-6010

Email: bsullivan@sloanewalsh.com

William J. Dailey, Jr.
Sloane & Walsh, LLP
Three Center Plaza
Boston, MA 02108
617-523-6010
Email: wdaileyjr@sloanewalsh.com

Christopher A Callanan
Campbell, Campbell, Edwards & Conroy, PC
One Constitution Plaza
Boston, MA 02129
617-241-3057
Email: ccallanan@campbell-trial-lawyers.com

James B. Vogts
Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP
225 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606-1229
312-201-2670
Email: vogts@wildman.com

Richard L. Edwards
Campbell, Campbell, Edwards & Conroy, PC
One Constitution Plaza
Boston, MA 02129
617-241-3000
Email: redwards@campbell-trial-lawyers.com

Terrance J. Hamilton
Casner & Edwards, LLP
303 Congress Street
Boston, MA 02210
617-426-5900
Email: tjhamilton@casneredwards.com

/s/ Paul R. Johnson

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION
DOCKET NO. CV-1:05-cv-11694

ERIC C. PEARCE,)	
)	
Plaintiff)	REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
)	AMENDED MOTION TO DISMISS BY
v.)	DEFENDANTS AEGIS HANDMADE
)	CARBON FIBER BICYCLES, LLC, AEGIS
KEITH BAUMM, et al.,)	RACING BIKES USA, LLC, AND
)	FASTBIKES USA, LLC
Defendants.)	

Defendants Aegis Handmade Carbon Fiber Bicycles, LLC (“Aegis Handmade”), Aegis Racing Bikes USA, LLC (“Aegis Racing”), and Fastbikes USA, LLC (“Fastbikes”) (collectively, the “Moving Defendants”), by and through the undersigned counsel, file this reply memorandum in support of their Amended Motion to Dismiss.

In his Opposition to the Motion, Plaintiff asserts that the Motion should be denied, or that he should be given leave to amend, to plead additional facts. Specifically, Plaintiff wants to plead that Moving Defendants have honored some warranty claims with respect to bicycle parts manufactured by Defendant Advanced Composite Engineering. Plaintiff contends that because Moving Defendants honored these warranty claims, the Moving Defendants assumed all liabilities of Advanced Composite Engineering arising out of any product that Advanced Composite Engineering ever manufactured and sold, including the bicycle fork which Advanced Composite Engineering sold to Plaintiff, which is the subject of this litigation.

Assuming for the purposes of this Motion only that the Moving Defendants did in fact honor some warranty claims on bicycle parts, the Court should still grant the Motion to Dismiss.

Even if this allegation is true, Plaintiff has still failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, because the allegation that Moving Defendants honored certain warranty claims is not enough to state a claim for successor corporate liability.

It is true that one of the generally recognized exceptions to the general rule of non-liability for a purchaser of corporate assets exists when "the successor expressly or impliedly assumes liability of the predecessor." *JSB Industries, Inc. v. Nexus Payroll Services, Inc.*, 463 F.Supp.2d 103, 109 (D.Mass. 2006).¹ However, the case law and treatises have uniformly agreed that the mere voluntary payment of some of the debts of the predecessor corporation does not subject the successor corporation to liability for all of the debts of the predecessor corporation. In the present case, the allegation that Moving Defendants honored some warranty claims and presumably repaired or replaced some bicycle parts cannot support a cause of action for a serious personal injury claim arising out of an entirely different bicycle fork.

One leading corporate law treatise has stated the principle as follows:

[S]imply agreeing to assume some corporate liabilities does not automatically lead to the implied assumption of all liabilities. . . .
[T]he mere fact that the new corporation has voluntarily paid some of the debts of the old corporation is no ground for inferring that it assumed the latter's debts . . .

15 Fletcher, *Fletcher Cyclopedia of the Law of Private Corporations*, § 7124, pp. 295-296 (1990 Ed.)

A recent Massachusetts Superior Court decision has adopted this same analysis. In *Bowditch & Dewey, LLP v. Diecast Realty Holdings, LLC*, 23 Mass.L.Rep. 25, 2007 Mass.Super.Lexis 362 (August 30, 2007), plaintiff law firm tried to collect legal fees for work

¹ Moving Defendants contend that Maine law, rather than Massachusetts law, should govern questions of successor liabilities of two Maine corporations, for the reasons set forth in the Amended Motion to Dismiss. However, for purposes of this particular issue of assumption of debts, the result is the same under the law of either state.

performed for an alleged predecessor corporation based on the fact that defendant had assumed certain accounts payable of the predecessor. *Id.* at *12. The Court rejected this argument and declined to impose liability on the alleged successor. *Id.* at *13-14.

Turning to decisions in other jurisdictions, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York has applied this principle to defeat a claim for successor liability. In *Marenyi v. Packard Press Corp.*, 1994 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14190, plaintiff brought a cause of action against a purchaser of corporate assets on the grounds that the alleged successor had settled employment claims made by an employee of the alleged predecessor corporation, which evidenced an intent to assume the liabilities of the alleged predecessor corporation. The court rejected this argument:

The mere fact of voluntary payment of some of the debts of the predecessor will not ground an inference that the successor assumed all of the former's debts. 15 William M. Fletcher, *Fletcher Cyclopaedia of the Law of Private Corporations* § 7124 (perm. ed. rev. vol. 1990). Petitioner has cited no law controverting this truism. I cannot agree with Petitioner that Respondent's participation in the settlement of one claim can be taken to show an intention to assume other debts of Packard, particularly in the absence of any evidence of any representations by Packard's officers or spokespeople suggestive of such an intention, and the presence of express provisions in the APA and the Human Rights Settlement Agreement disclaiming such an intention.

Id. at *24-25.

Other federal courts have followed this same rule. For example, in *Lickteig v. Tri-Steel Structures, Inc.*, 170 F.Supp.2d 1158 (D.Kan. 2001), plaintiff argued that the defendant's voluntary payment of some of alleged predecessor's debts meant that defendant was liable for all the predecessor's debts. The court rejected this theory of successor liability, because there was no evidence that the defendant specifically intended to assume the debt owed to this particular plaintiff:

Here, Tri-Steel never expressly assumed responsibility for payment to Plaintiffs. Thus, the fact that Tri-Steel voluntarily chose to pay some of AFS' debts is of no consequence. The COurt will therefore decline to impose liability on Tri-Steel under this theory.

170 F.Supp.2d at 1164.

An appellate court in Washington state has also reached the same conclusion. In *Uni-Com Northwest, Ltd. v. Argus Publishing Company*, 47 Wn.App. 787, 737 P.2d 304 (1987), the court rejected the argument that mere payment of certain debts proves an intent to assume all the debts of the prior corporation:

[A]n agreement [to assume all liabilities] is not implied from the mere fact a new corporation has voluntarily paid some of the debts of the old corporation, without further manifestation of an intent to pay all its debts.

47 Wn. App. at 801, 737 P. 2d at 311-312, citing 15 *Fletcher, supra*, § 7124

Applying these principles to the present case, it is clear that even if Plaintiff can prove that the Moving Defendants honored certain warranty claims for parts manufactured by Advanced Composite Engineering, that is insufficient to show an intent to assume all of Advanced Composite Engineering's liabilities, or an intent to assume whatever liabilities it may have to Plaintiff. There is no manifestation of intent to assume any liabilities other than the warranty claims that Moving Defendants allegedly honored.

In short, even if Plaintiff can prove the new facts that he now seeks to allege, Plaintiff still loses. There is no reason to subject the Moving Defendants to the cost of discovery and trial in what promises to be a difficult and expensive case, when there are no facts which would support any recovery against Moving Defendants.

For the foregoing reasons, Moving Defendants' Amended Motion to Dismiss should be granted.

DATED at Portland, Maine this 13th day of December, 2007.

/s/ Paul R. Johnson

Paul R. Johnson, Esq.

BBO # 546165

Attorney for Aegis Handmade Carbon Fiber
Bicycles, LLC, Aegis Racing Bikes USA,
LLC, And Fastbikes USA, LLC

RICHARDSON, WHITMAN, LARGE & BADGER

465 Congress Street

P. O. Box 9545

Portland, ME 04112-9545

(207) 774-7474

pjohnson@rwl.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document filed through the ECF system will be sent electronically to the following registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) and paper copies will be sent to those indicated as non registered participants, on this 13th day of December, 2007.

Sergio C Deganis

Ouellette, Deganis & Gallagher, LLC

143 Main Street

Cheshire, CT 06410

203-272-1157

Email: Sdeganis@ODGWLAW.com

James D. Poliquin

Norman, Hanson & DeTroy

P.O. Box 4600

415 Congress Street

Portland, ME 04112

(207) 774-7000

Brian H. Sullivan

Sloane & Walsh

3 Center Plaza

Boston, MA 02108

617-523-6010

Email: bsullivan@sloanewalsh.com

William J. Dailey, Jr.
Sloane & Walsh, LLP
Three Center Plaza
Boston, MA 02108
617-523-6010
Email: wdaileyjr@sloanewalsh.com

Christopher A Callanan
Campbell, Campbell, Edwards & Conroy, PC
One Constitution Plaza
Boston, MA 02129
617-241-3057
Email: ccallanan@campbell-trial-lawyers.com

James B. Vogts
Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP
225 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606-1229
312-201-2670
Email: vogts@wildman.com

Richard L. Edwards
Campbell, Campbell, Edwards & Conroy, PC
One Constitution Plaza
Boston, MA 02129
617-241-3000
Email: redwards@campbell-trial-lawyers.com

Terrance J. Hamilton
Casner & Edwards, LLP
303 Congress Street
Boston, MA 02210
617-426-5900
Email: tjhamilton@casneredwards.com

/s/ Paul R. Johnson